\oplus

CURIE TEMPERATURES FOR THE ISING MODEL ON ARCHIMEDEAN LATTICES KRZYSZTOF MALARZ, MARCIN ZBOREK

AND BARTŁOMIEJ WRÓBEL

Faculty of Physics and Applied Computer Science, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Mickiewicza 30, PL-30059 Cracow, Poland malarz@agh.edu.pl

(Received 20 September 2005)

Abstract: Critical temperatures for the ferro-paramagnetic transition in the Ising model are evaluated for five Archimedean lattices, basing on Monte Carlo simulations. The obtained Curie temperatures are 1.25, 1.40, 1.45, 2.15 and 2.80 $[J/k_B]$ for (3,12²), (4,6,12), (4,8²), (3,4,6,4) and (3⁴,6) lattices, respectively.

Keywords: computer modelling and simulation, Ising model, phase transition, critical parameter

1. Introduction

The beauty of the Ising model (IM) [1–4] lies in its simplicity. The considered system is a network of N interacting spins, $S_i = \pm 1$, which energy is:

$$E \equiv -J \sum_{(i,j)} S_i S_j,\tag{1}$$

where J is an exchange integral. We assume homogeneous short-range spin interactions, *i.e.* the summation in Equation (1) is performed over (i, j) pairs of the nearest neighbours. The positive sign of J > 0 leads to ferromagnetic interactions among spins. The minimisation of energy (1) for temperature T = 0 produces spin dynamics which may be described by a deterministic cellular automaton with the following rule:

$$S_i(t+1) = \operatorname{sign}\left(J\sum_j S_j(t)\right),\tag{2}$$

where t denotes discrete time and summation is performed over the nearest neighbours of the i^{th} spin.

For a finite temperature T > 0 the deterministic rule (2) is replaced by a probabilistic cellular automaton with a spin update rule, $S_i(t) \rightarrow S_i(t+1)$, described K. Malarz et al.

by the Glauber [5] or Metropolis [6] dynamics. Phase transition may then be observed: below the critical temperature, $T < T_C$, spontaneous magnetisation, $m \equiv \sum_{i=1}^N S_i / N \neq 0$, is observed, while m = 0 for $T > T_C$.

The Ising model has already been investigated in many ways, including Monte Carlo simulation [7-12], series expansion [13-17], the mean-field approach [18-20] or the partition function technique [21-23], and for many systems, such as: antiferromagnets [24-30], frustrated [24, 25, 31], disordered [32, 33] or diluted [34, 35] networks on complex [24, 36-38] or shuffled lattices [39, 40], etc. [41].

In this paper, critical temperature T_C is estimated for five two-dimensional lattices, on the basis of the $\langle m(T) \rangle$ dependence, where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the time average. Archimedean lattices (AL) are vertex transitive graphs that can be embedded in a plane such that every face is a regular polygon. Kepler demonstrated that there is exactly eleven such graphs [42]. The names of the lattices are given according to the sizes of faces incident to a given vertex. The face sizes are listed in order, starting with a face such that the list is the smallest possible in the lexicographical order. In this way, the square lattice called (4,4,4,4), abbreviated to (4⁴), the honeycomb is called (6³) and Kagomé is (3,6,3,6). Some results concerning IM on AL were presented in Refs. [43–62]; however the Curie temperatures of several AL's are still missing in the literature of which the authors are aware.

Critical properties of these lattices were investigated in terms of site percolation [63, 64] in Ref. [65], where the topologies of all AL's are given as well.

2. Simulation results

We evaluate the Curie temperature, T_C , on the basis of the termal dependence of magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$. The investigated systems contain about $N \approx 6 \cdot 10^4$ spins, which decorate nodes of $(3^4, 6)$, (3, 4, 6, 4), (4, 6, 12), $(4, 8^2)$ AL. The Glauber dynamics [5] is applied and the simulation takes $N_{\text{iter}} = 2 \cdot 10^5$ Monte Carlo steps (MCS). One MCS is completed when all N spins are investigated (spin-by-spin in a type-writer order), whether they should flip or not. The time average is performed over the last 10^5 MCS's for an evaluation of $\langle m \rangle$. The results are presented in Figure 1. The temperature at which spontaneous magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ ceases is accepted to be an estimation of T_C . These estimations are shown in Table 1.

z	lattice	$T_C \left[{\rm J}/k_{\rm B} \right]$	Ref.
3	$(3, 12^2)$	1.25	
	(4, 6, 12)	1.40	
	$(4, 8^2)$	1.45	
	(6^3)	1.52	[59]
4	(3, 4, 6, 4)	2.15	
	(4^4)	$2/\operatorname{arcsinh} 1 \approx 2.27$	[60-62]
	(3, 6, 3, 6)	2.27	[17]
5	$(3^4, 6)$	2.80	
	$(3^3, 4^2)$	$2/\ln 2 \approx 2.89$	[58]
	$(3^2, 4, 3, 4)$	2.93	[58]
6	(3^6)	3.64	[59]

Table 1. AL's and the associated critical temperatures, T_C

476

 \oplus |

 \oplus





Figure 1. Dependence of average magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ on temperature T expressed in J/k_B for (3⁴,6), (3,4,6,4), (4,6,12), (4,8²) and (4⁴) AL's. Simulations carried out for $N \approx 6 \cdot 10^4$ spins during $N_{\text{iter}} = 2 \cdot 10^5 \text{ MCS}$. Magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ is averaged over the last 10^5 MCS



Figure 2. Dependence of average magnetisation $\langle m \rangle$ on normalized dimensionless temperature T/T_C for (3⁴,6), (3,4,6,4), (4,6,12), (4,8²) and (4⁴) AL's. Same data as in Figure 1

3. Conclusions

In this paper the Curie temperatures have been collected for IM on all AL's. T_C for $(3,12^2)$, (4,6,12), $(4,8^2)$, (3,4,6,4) and $(3^4,6)$ AL's have been evaluated for the first time with the Monte Carlo simulation.

For all the investigated AL's, the shape of the $m(T/T_C)$ curve (see Figure 2) is roughly the same as for the square lattice. In the latter case, an analytical expression [66] is known:

$$|m(\kappa)| = \sqrt[8]{\frac{\cosh^2(2/\kappa)}{\sinh^4(2/\kappa)} \left(\sinh^2(2/\kappa) - 1\right)},\tag{3}$$

where $\kappa \equiv T/T_C$.

 \oplus

477

K. Malarz et al.

In contrast to the Galam-Mauger [67, 68] semi-exact formula for T_C dependence on the system dimensionality, d, and the lattice coordination number, z, we have shown that the critical temperature for IM differs slightly for several AL's (where d=2) with the same values of z. Similarly to the percolation phenomena [63, 64], the dimensionality, d, and the coordination number, z, are not sufficient [69–78] for determining the critical point T_C for IM.

Acknowledgements

K.M. is grateful to Krzysztof Kułakowski for their numerous valuable and fruitful discussions. The calculations were carried out at ACK-CYFRONET-AGH. The machine time on the HP Integrity Superdome was financed by the Polish Ministry of Science and Information Technology under Grant No. MNiI/HP_I_SD/AGH/047/2004.

References

478

- [1] Lenz W 1920 Z. Phys. 21 613
- [2] Ising E 1925 Z. Phys. 31 253
- [3] Heermann D W 1990 Computer Simulation Methods in Theoretical Physics, Springer Verlag, Berlin
- [4] Stauffer D, Hehl F W, Ito N, Winkelmann V and Zabolitzky J G 1993 Computer Simulation and Computer Algebra, Springer Verlag, Heidelberg
- [5] Glauber R J 1963 J. Math. Phys. 4 294
- [6] Metropolis N, Resenbluth A W, Resenbluth M N, Teller A H and Teller E 1953 J. Chem. Phys. 21 1087
- [7] García J and Gonzalo J A 2003 Physica A 326 464
- [8] Merz F and Chalker J T 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 054425
- [9] Ito N, Hukushima K, Ogawa K and Ozeki Y 2000 J. Phys. Soc. Japan 69 1931
- [10] Heuer H-O 1996 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics IV (Stauffer D, Ed.) World Scientific, Singapore, p. 267
- [11] Rieger H 1995 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics II (Stauffer D, Ed.) World Scientific, Singapore, p. 295
- [12] Acharyya M and Chakrabarti B K 1995 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics I (Stauffer D, Ed.), World Scientific, Singapore, p. 107
- [13] Butera P and Comi M 2002 Phys. Rev. B 65 144431
- [14] Butera P and Comi M 1997 Phys. Rev. B 56 8212
- $[15]\,$ Guttmann A J and Enting I G 1993 J. Phys. A ${\bf 26}$ 806
- $[16]\,$ Oitman J, Hamer C J and Zheng W 1991 J. Phys. A ${\bf 24}$ 2863
- [17] Adler J 1996 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics IV (Stauffer D, Ed.) World Scientific, Singapour, p. 241
- [18] Jasch F and Kleinert H 2001 J. Math. Phys. 42 52
- [19] Berges J, Tetradis N and Wetterich C 1996 Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 873
- [20] Bragg W L and Williams E J 1934 Proc. Roy. Soc. A 145 699
- [21] Hartmann A K cond-mat/0410583
- [22] Malarz K, Magdoń-Maksymowicz M S, Maksymowicz A Z, Kawecka-Magiera B and Kułakowski K 2003 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 14 689
- [23] Ferdinand A F and Fisher M E 1969 Phys. Rev. 185 832
- [24] Tadić B, Malarz K and Kułakowski K 2005 Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 137204
- [25] Krawczyk M J, Malarz K, Kawecka-Magiera B, Maksymowicz A Z and Kułakowski K 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 (in print, cond-mat/0412545)
- [26] Richter J, Schulenburg J, Honecker A and Schmalfuß D 2004 Phys. Rev. B 70 174454
- [27] Penney S J, Cumyn V K and Betts D D 2003 Physica A 330 507

 \oplus |

479

- [28] Tomczak P, Schulenburg J, Richter J and Ferchmin A R 2001 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 13 3851
- [29] Kawecka-Magiera B, Kułakowski K and Maksymowicz A Z 2000 J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 221 391
- [30] Tomczak P and Richter J 1999 Phys. Rev. B 59 107
- [31] Lebrecht W, Vogel E E and Valdes J F 2004 J. Alloys Compounds 369 66
- [32] Kawecka-Magiera B, Maksymowicz A Z, Kowal M and Kułakowski K 1998 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 9 685
- [33] Kawecka-Magiera B, Maksymowicz A, Kułakowski K and Lenda A 1997 Acta Phys. Pol. A 91 297
- [34] Selke W, Shchur L N and Talapov A L 1995 Annual Reviews of Computational Physics I (Stauffer D, Ed.) World Scientific, Singapour, p. 17
- [35] Néda Z 1994 J. Phys. I 4 175
- [36] Aleksiejuk A, Hołyst J A and Stauffer D 2002 Physica A 310 260
- [37]Bianconi G 2002 Physica A ${\bf 303}$ 166
- [38] Pękalski A 2001 Phys. Rev. E 64 057104
- [39] Malarz K 2003 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 14 561
- [40] Sousa A O, Malarz K and Galam S 2005 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C 16 1507
- [41] Mancini F 2005 Eur. Phys. J. B 45 497
- [42] Kepler J 1619 Harmonices Mundi, Lincii
- [43] Lu W T and Wu F Y 2005 Phys. Rev. E 71 046120
- [44] Zheng J and Sun G 2005 Phys. Rev. B 71 052408
- [45] Baxter R J and Choy T C 1988 J. Phys. A 21 2143
- [46] Giacomini H 1988 J. Phys. A 21 L31
- [47] Lin K Y and Huang W N 1985 Aus. J. Phys. 38 227
- [48] Lin K Y and Fang J M 1985 Phys. Lett. A 109 121
- [49] Lin K Y and Chen T L 1987 Chin. J. Phys. 25 178
- [50] Lin K Y, Kao C H and Chen T L 1987 Phys. Lett. A 121 443
- [51] Lin K Y and Chen J L 1987 J. Phys. A 20 5695
- [52] Lin K Y 1987 J. Stat. Phys. 49 269
- [53] Lin K Y and Wang S C 1988 Phys. Lett. A 128 143
- [54] Lin K Y 1988 Phys. Lett. A 128 35
- [55] Lin K Y 1988 Chin. J. 26 150
- [56] Lin K Y 1989 Int. J. Mod. Phys. B 3 1237
- [57] Lin K Y, 1989 J. Phys. A 22 3435
- [58] Thompson C J and Wardrop M J 1974 J. Phys. A 7 L65
- [59] Dixon J M, Tuszyński J A and Carpenter E J 2005 Physica A 349 487
- [60] Kramers H A and Wannier G H 1941 Phys. Rev. 60 252
- [61] Kramers H A and Wannier G H 1941 Phys. Rev. 60 263
- [62] Onsager L 1944 Phys. Rev. 65 117
- [63] Stauffer D and Aharony A 1994 Introduction to Percolation Theory, Taylor and Francis, London
- [64] Sahimi M 1994 Applications of Percolation Theory, Taylor and Francis, London
- [65] Suding P N and Ziff R M 1999 Phys. Rev. E 60 275
- [66] Yang C N 1952 Phys. Rev. 85 808
- [67] Galam S and Mauger A 1997 Physica A 235 573
- [68] Galam S 2000 J. Appl. Phys. 87 7040
- [69] Galam S and Mauger A, 1996 Phys. Rev. E 53 2177
- [70] Galam S and Mauger A 1997 Phys. Rev. E 56 322
- [71] Galam S and Mauger A 1998 Eur. Phys. J. B 1 255
- [72] van der Marck S C 1997 Phys. Rev. E 55 1228

 \oplus |

480

- [73]van der Marck S C
 1997 Phys. Rev. E ${\bf 55}$ 1514
- [74]van der Marck S C 1997 Phys. Rev. E 55 $3732({\rm E})$
- [75] van der Marck S C 1998 Int. J. Mod. Phys. C ${\bf 9}$ 529
- [76] Babalievski F 1999 Phys. Rev. E 59 1278
- [77] Galam S and Malarz K 2005 Phys. Rev. E $\mathbf{72}$ 027103
- [78] Malarz K and Galam S 2005 Phys. Rev. E $\mathbf{71}$ 016125